**Approval under section 9(1)(b)(i) of the Heavy Vehicle (General) National Regulation – Non compliance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The type of heavy vehicle:</th>
<th>3-axle pm tri semi (Level 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The standard/s under the Standards and Vehicle Assessment Rules that a vehicle built to the design may not comply with: | Maximum of Difference (C8b)  
Standard: 400mm  
This vehicle design: 460 mm (exceedance of 60mm) |

**Reasons why the non-compliance will not pose any additional risk to safety or infrastructure if the particular conditions are imposed and complied with.**

The Regulator undertook a risk assessment before determining its support for the design. The Regulator concluded that although a heavy vehicle built to the design would not comply with Maximum of Difference (C8b) under the Standards and Vehicle Assessment Rules, it would not pose any greater risk than a heavy vehicle that did comply with the standard for the following reasons:

- It exceeds the requirements of Low-Speed Swept Path (C7) in a way that offsets the failure to comply with Maximum of Difference (C8b). (Standard: 7.4m; This vehicle: 7.14m)
- It exceeds the requirements of Frontal Swing (C8a) in a way that offsets the failure to comply with Maximum of Difference (C8b). (Standard: 0.7m; This vehicle: 0.6m)
- Because of its 360mm margin for Low Speed Swept Path (C7) and 100mm margin for Frontal Swing (C8a), it will operate comfortably within Level 1, despite its non-compliance with C8b and will require less road space than other vehicles that comply with Level 1 standards.
- The vehicle could be made to fully comply by installing a bulbar; however, Low-Speed Swept Path (C7) and Frontal Swing (C8a) would then be on the level 1 limit. The benefits higher performance in C7 and C8a would be lost by fixing the minor non-conformance with Maximum of Difference (C8b).